Multitask Online Mirror Descent

P. Laforgue, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy



N. Cesa-Bianchi (UniMi)



A. Paudice (UniMi & IIT)



M. Pontil (IIT & UCL)

Online Multitask Learning: Motivations



- Datastreams are ubiquitous: markets, sensors, user interactions
- Many problems are multitask: stock predictions, federated learning for mobile users, for smart homes, weather forecasting
- Is it possible to improve when we face similar tasks?

Partial yes in [Cavallanti et al. 2010] (specific algorithm, loss, geometry)

At each time step $t = 1, \ldots, T$, the learner:

- 1. makes a prediction $x_t \in V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,
- 2. receives a convex loss function $\ell_t \colon V \to \mathbb{R}$,
- 3. pays $\ell_t(x_t)$, and uses the knowledge of ℓ_t for the next predictions.

Given a sequence of losses ℓ_t (possibly arbitrary), the goal is to minimize the **regret**, defined as:

$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(x_t) - \underbrace{\inf_{u \in V} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(u)}_{\text{best model in hindsight}}$$

Given $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, λ -strongly convex w.r.t. norm $\|\cdot\|$ on V, the OMD update writes:

$$x_{t+1} = \underset{x \in V}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \langle \eta_t g_t, x \rangle + B_{\psi}(x, x_t) \tag{1}$$

where $g_t \in \partial \ell_t(x_t)$, and $B_{\psi}(x, y) = \psi(x) - \psi(y) - \langle \nabla \psi(y), x - y \rangle$.

For $\eta_t := \eta$ and any $x_1 \in V$, it can be shown that the sequence of iterates produced by (1) satisfies:

$$orall u \in V, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}(u) \leq rac{B_{\psi}(u,x_1)}{\eta} + rac{\eta}{2\lambda} \sum_{t=1}^T \|g_t\|_{\star}^2$$

Online Mirror Descent (2/2)

$$orall u \in V, \qquad {\mathcal R}_{\mathcal T}(u) \leq rac{B_\psi(u, x_1)}{\eta} + rac{\eta}{2\lambda} \sum_{t=1}^T \|g_t\|_\star^2$$

Two famous instances of OMD are Online Gradient Descent (OGD) and Exponentiated Gradient (EG).

	OGD	EG
$\psi(x)$	$\frac{1}{2} x _2^2$	$\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j \ln x_j$
$\lambda, \ \cdot\ , \ \cdot\ _{\star}$	$ 1, \ \cdot \ _2, \ \cdot \ _2$	$ 1, \ \cdot \ _1, \ \cdot \ _\infty$
$B_\psi(x,y)$	$\frac{1}{2} \ x - y\ _2^2$	$\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j \ln\left(\frac{x_j}{y_j}\right)$
$egin{array}{l} R_{\mathcal{T}} ext{ on the simplex} \ ext{with } \ g_t\ _{\infty} \leq 1 \end{array}$	$\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{Td})$	$\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T \ln d})$

5

N agents, each trying to solve its own task. At time step t, agent i_t is active (arbitrary chosen). Our goal is to minimize the **multitask regret**:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(x_{t}) - \inf_{u \in V} \sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(u) \right)$$

ß

N agents, each trying to solve its own task. At time step t, agent i_t is active (arbitrary chosen). Our goal is to minimize the **multitask regret**:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(x_{t}) - \inf_{u \in V} \sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(u) \right)$$



N agents, each trying to solve its own task. At time step t, agent i_t is active (arbitrary chosen). Our goal is to minimize the **multitask regret**:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(x_{t}) - \inf_{u \in V} \sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(u) \right)$$



5

N agents, each trying to solve its own task. At time step t, agent i_t is active (arbitrary chosen). Our goal is to minimize the **multitask regret**:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(x_{t}) - \inf_{u \in V} \sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(u) \right)$$



N agents, each trying to solve its own task. At time step t, agent i_t is active (arbitrary chosen). Our goal is to minimize the **multitask regret**:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(x_{t}) - \inf_{u \in V} \sum_{t: i_{t}=i} \ell_{t}(u) \right)$$





If individual OMD has regret bounded by $C\sqrt{T}$, by Jensen's inequality:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_T \leq \sum_{i=1}^N C \sqrt{T_i} \leq C \sqrt{NT} \,.$$

Is it possible to improve with respect to the \sqrt{N} dependence? Yes How? Under which condition on the tasks? on ψ ?









7

MT-OMD: Analysis

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $\mathbf{A} = A \otimes I_d \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd \times Nd}$. For a regularizer $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, let $\psi \colon \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi(\mathbf{u}^{(i)}), \qquad \tilde{\psi} \colon \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd} \mapsto \psi(\mathbf{A}^{1/2}\mathbf{u})$

We have $B_{ ilde{\psi}}({m x},{m y})=B_{m \psi}({m A}^{1/2}{m x},{m A}^{1/2}{m y})$, so the MT-OMD update writes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{V}} \ \langle \eta_t \bar{g}_t, \mathbf{x} \rangle + B_\psi (\mathbf{A}^{1/2} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}^{1/2} \mathbf{x}_t) \\ &= \mathbf{A}^{-1/2} \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{A}^{1/2}(\mathbf{V})} \ \langle \eta_t \mathbf{A}^{-1/2} \bar{g}_t, \mathbf{y} \rangle + B_\psi (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}_t) \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd}, \quad \boldsymbol{R}_{T}(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq \frac{B_{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(\boldsymbol{A}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{A}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{x}_{1})}{\eta} + \eta \max_{i \leq N} A_{ii}^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\|\boldsymbol{g}_{t}\|_{\star}^{2}}{2\lambda}$$

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $A = A \otimes I_d \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd \times Nd}$. For a regularizer $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, let $\psi \colon u \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N \psi(u^{(i)}), \qquad \tilde{\psi} \colon u \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd} \mapsto \psi(A^{1/2}u)$

We have $B_{\tilde{\psi}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = B_{\psi}(\mathbf{A}^{1/2}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}^{1/2}\mathbf{y})$, so the MT-OMD update writes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{V}} \ \langle \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t, \mathbf{x} \rangle + B_{\psi} (\mathbf{A}^{1/2} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}^{1/2} \mathbf{x}_t) \\ &= \mathbf{A}^{-1/2} \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{A}^{1/2} (\mathbf{V})} \ \langle \eta_t \mathbf{A}^{-1/2} \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t, \mathbf{y} \rangle + B_{\psi} (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}_t) \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that:

 $\forall \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd}, \quad \boldsymbol{R}_{T}(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq \frac{B_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{A}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{A}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{x}_{1})}{\eta} + \eta \max_{i \leq N} \boldsymbol{A}_{ii}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \frac{\|\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\|_{\star}^{2}}{2\lambda}$

MT-OMD: Analysis

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $\mathbf{A} = A \otimes I_d \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd \times Nd}$. For a regularizer $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\psi \colon oldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi(oldsymbol{u}^{(i)}), \qquad ilde{\psi} \colon oldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd} \mapsto \psi(oldsymbol{A}^{1/2}oldsymbol{u})$$

We have $B_{\tilde{\psi}}(x,y) = B_{\psi}(A^{1/2}x, A^{1/2}y)$, so the MT-OMD update writes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{V}} \langle \eta_t \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t, \mathbf{x} \rangle + B_{\psi} (\mathbf{A}^{1/2} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}^{1/2} \mathbf{x}_t) \\ &= \mathbf{A}^{-1/2} \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{A}^{1/2}(\mathbf{V})} \langle \eta_t \mathbf{A}^{-1/2} \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t, \mathbf{y} \rangle + B_{\psi} (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}_t) \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd}, \quad \boldsymbol{R}_{T}(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq \frac{B_{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(\boldsymbol{A}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{A}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{x}_{1})}{\eta} + \eta \max_{i \leq N} A_{ii}^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\|\boldsymbol{g}_{t}\|_{\star}^{2}}{2\lambda}$$

Multitask OGD (1/2)

Instantiating the previous bound for MT-OGD ($\psi = \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|_2^2$), we obtain:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd}, \quad \boldsymbol{R}_{T}(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq \frac{(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1})^{\top} \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{x}_{1})}{2\eta} + \eta \max_{i \leq N} \boldsymbol{A}_{ii}^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\|\boldsymbol{g}_{t}\|_{2}^{2}}{2\lambda}$$

If
$$A = I_N + b \left(I_N - \frac{11^\top}{N} \right)$$
 (and $x_1 = 0$), we obtain:

$$u^{\top} \mathbf{A} u = \| u \|_{2}^{2} + b \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| u^{(i)} - \bar{u} \|_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \| u \|_{2}^{2} + b(N-1) Var(u)$$

and

$$\max_{i\leq N}A_{ii}^{-1}=\frac{b+N}{(1+b)N}$$

Under which condition? Tasks have a small variance

Let $V = \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||u||_2 \le D \}$ $V = \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd} : ||u^{(i)}||_2 \le D \quad \forall i \le N \}$ $V_{\sigma} = \{ u \in V : Var(u) \le \sigma^2 D^2 \}$

For all $\boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{V}_{\sigma}$ we have:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd}, \quad \boldsymbol{R}_{T}(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq \frac{ND^{2}(1+b\frac{N-1}{N}\sigma^{2})}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta(b+N)}{(1+b)N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\|\boldsymbol{g}_{t}\|_{2}^{2}}{2\lambda} \\ \leq DL_{g}\sqrt{1+\sigma^{2}(N-1)}\sqrt{2T}$$

after optimizing η and b. Recall that independent OGDs give $DL_g\sqrt{NT}$. Nicely interpolates between the extreme cases $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$.

Matching Lower Bound / Separation Result

For any algorithm

$$m{R}_T \geq rac{1}{4} \left(D L_g \sqrt{1 + \sigma^2 (N-1)} \sqrt{2T}
ight) \, .$$



Extension to Any Norm

lf

$$Var_{\|\cdot\|}(\boldsymbol{u}) = rac{1}{N-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \boldsymbol{u}^{(i)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}} \right\|^2,$$

then

$$R_T(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq DL_g\sqrt{1+\sigma^2(N-1)}\sqrt{8T}$$

In particular,

$$R_{\mathcal{T}}(oldsymbol{u}) \leq L_g \sqrt{1 + \sigma^2(oldsymbol{N}-1)} \sqrt{16 e \mathcal{T} \ln oldsymbol{d}}$$
 .

Recall that
$$A = I_N + b\left(I_N - \frac{\mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^\top}{N}\right)$$
.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{For } \psi = \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|_2^2, \qquad & B_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{A}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{u}, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \| \boldsymbol{u}^{(i)} \|_2^2 + b \ Var(\boldsymbol{u}) \end{aligned}$$
$$& \text{For } \psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_j x_j \ln x_j, \qquad & B_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{A}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{u}, \frac{1}{d}) \leq N \ln d, \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{A}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{\Delta} \end{aligned}$$

Plugging and optimizing η yields for MT-EG:

$$m{R}_T \leq L_g \sqrt{rac{2(b+N)}{b+1}} \sqrt{T \ln d}$$

Multitask EG (2/2)

$$m{R}_T \leq L_g \sqrt{rac{2(b+N)}{b+1}} \sqrt{T \ln d}$$

But
$$(\mathbf{A}^{1/2}\mathbf{u})^{(i)} = \sqrt{1+b}\mathbf{u}^{(i)} + (1-\sqrt{1+b})\mathbf{\bar{u}}.$$

We should choose $b^* = \max\{b \ge 0 \colon \mathbf{A}^{1/2}\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{\Delta}\}.$

Let
$$Var_{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \max_{j \leq d} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{j}^{max} - \boldsymbol{u}_{j}^{min}}{\boldsymbol{u}_{j}^{max}}\right)^{2}$$
. For every $\boldsymbol{u} \in \Delta$ such that $Var_{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq \sigma^{2}$, choosing $b = \frac{1 - \sigma^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$ yields:

$$m{R}_T \leq L_g \sqrt{1+\sigma^2(N-1)} \sqrt{2T \ln d}$$
 .

Experiments (1/2)

Both MT-OGD and MT-EG enjoy closed form updates. Experiments show an improvement upon both Independent Task OMD (IT-OMD, b = 0) and Single Task OMD (ST-OMD, $b = +\infty$).



Cumulative losses for MT-OGD on the lenk dataset (left) and cumulative wealth for MT-EG on the NYSE dataset (right).

Experiments (2/2)

Regret against task standard deviation σ (in accordance with the upper/lower bounds).



Conclusion

MT-OMD induces the multitask acceleration:

$$\sqrt{1+\sigma^2(N-1)}$$
 VS. \sqrt{N}

- How? By sharing gradients between agents, $ilde{\psi} = \psi(\mathbf{A}^{1/2} \cdot)$
- Under which condition? Task variance $\sigma^2 \leq 1$
- Enjoy closed form updates for MT-OGD and MT-EG
- The multitask acceleration is orthogonal to other kinds of refinements (q-norms, adaptive learning rates, smooth losses)
- Limitation: requires the knowledge of σ^2

$$A = (1+b)I_N - rac{b}{N}\mathbbm{1}\mathbbm{1}^ op$$
 can actually be rewritten $A = I_N + bL^{clique}$

If $A = I_N + bL^G$ for a generic graph G, with weight matrix W, we have:

$$u^{\top} A u = \| u \|_{2}^{2} + b \sum_{i,j} W_{ij} \| u^{(i)} - u^{(j)} \|_{2}^{2}$$

Allows to encode more precise knowledge about the task variance. But the computation of A_{ii}^{-1} has to be done on a case by case basis. Works also for the variance definition on the probability simplex Δ .